

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/709,528	STAUFFER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Suchin Parihar	2825	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowable

(1) Suchin Parihar (Examiner).

(3) _____.

(2) Spencer K. Warnick (Reg.# 40,398).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 8 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Suchin Parihar
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant to suggest amending claims 1, 8 and 14 in order to overcome a potential USC 112 1st paragraph rejection involving specific claim language that is not supported by the specification, i.e. "pin class rules". Applicant amended the claim language in order to place the application into a condition for allowance, and suggested Examiner implement the changes by way of Examiner's amendment.

Suchin Parihar
Examiner
AU 2825
571-272-6210.